



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/997,655	11/29/2001	Wataru Kwasaki	FUJR 19.202	7390

7590 04/04/2006  
ROSEMAN & COLIN LLP  
LINDA S. CHAN  
575 MADISON AVENUE  
IP DEPARTMENT 15TH FL.  
NEWYORK, NY 10022-2585

EXAMINER

TORRES, JUAN A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2611

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/997,655

Applicant(s)

KWASAKI ET AL.

Examiner

Juan A. Torres

Art Unit

2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 March 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 November 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Drawings***

The modifications to the drawings were received on 03/20/2006. These modifications are accepted by the Examiner.

In view of the amendment filed on 03/20/2006, the Examiner withdraws the drawing objections of the previous Office action.

### ***Specification***

The modifications to the specification were received on 03/20/2006. These modifications are accepted by the Examiner.

In view of the amendment filed on 03/20/2006, the Examiner withdraws the specification objections of the previous Office action.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 13, 14 and 15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Turney (US 4516083 A). Turney discloses a clock timing extraction circuit extracting a clock timing from an input signal, comprising phase comparing means for comparing a phase of the

Art Unit: 2611

input signal and that of a frequency-divided clock to thereby detect a phase difference (figure 1 block 12 column 2 line 41 to column 3 line 35); averaging means for averaging the phase difference to thereby generate a control voltage (figure 1 block 16 column 2 line 41 to column 3 line 35); voltage-controlled oscillation means for oscillating a synchronizing clock based on the control voltage (figure 1 block 18 column 2 line 41 to column 3 line 35); frequency-dividing means for dividing the frequency of the synchronizing clock to generate the frequency-divided clock (figure 1 block 14 column 2 line 41 to column 3 line 35); and phase-locked loop control means for determining whether the control voltage falls within a set range to determine whether a phase-locked loop is in a locked state and dynamically setting the frequency-dividing ratio based on said determination (figure 1 block 26 column 3 lines 18-28).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Solheim (US 5896391) in view of Turney (US 4516083 A), and further in view of Wada (US 5602879 A).

As per claim 1 Solheim discloses a regeneration control circuit sequentially sweeping a voltage threshold level and a phase of an extracted clock with respect to the input signal. Solheim doesn't disclose a clock timing extraction circuit dynamically

setting a frequency-dividing ratio based on a transmission rate of an input signal to perform a phase synchronization control so that the input signal and an oscillation output have a constant phase difference and extracting a clock timing based on the transmission rate; and determine whether signal logic levels measured at adjacent monitor points match with each other and to automatically measure a decision point within a valid zone of an eye pattern at which there is the least possibility that error occurs and performing the regeneration control by using the decision point as an optimal point. Turney discloses a clock timing extraction circuit dynamically setting a frequency-dividing ratio based on a transmission rate of an input signal to perform a phase synchronization control so that the input signal and an oscillation output have a constant phase difference and extracting a clock timing based on the transmission rate (figure 1 column 2 line 41 to column 3 line 35). Solheim and Turney are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the clock timing extraction circuit disclosed by Turney with the regeneration control circuit disclosed by Solheim. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to reducing time delay in the synthesizer (Turney column 3 lines 18-28). Wada discloses determining whether signal logic levels measured at adjacent monitor points match with each other and to automatically measure a decision point within a valid zone of an eye pattern at which there is the least possibility that error occurs and performing the regeneration control by using the decision point as an optimal point (figures 7-10, column 10 lines 14-39). Solheim and Wada are analogous art because they are from the same field of

Art Unit: 2611

endeavor. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the clock recovery circuit disclosed by Wada with the regeneration control circuit disclosed by Solheim. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to produce a timing clock signal at a point at which an eye pattern of the signal opens most widely (Wada abstract).

As per claim 2 Turney also discloses that the clock timing extraction circuit comprises a phase comparing means for comparing phases of the input signal and a frequency-divided clock to detect a phase difference therebetween (figure 1 block 12 column 2 line 41 to column 3 line 35); averaging means for averaging the phase difference to generate a control voltage (figure 1 block 16 column 2 line 41 to column 3 line 35); voltage-controlled oscillation means for oscillating a synchronizing clock based on the control voltage (figure 1 block 18 column 2 line 41 to column 3 line 35); frequency-dividing means for dividing the frequency of the synchronizing clock to generate the frequency-divided clock (figure 1 block 14 column 2 line 41 to column 3 line 35); and phase-locked loop control means for determining whether the control voltage falls within a set range to determine whether a phase-locked loop is in a locked state and dynamically setting the frequency-dividing ratio based on a result of determination (figure 1 block 26 column 2 line 41 to column 3 line 35). Solheim and Turney are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the clock timing extraction circuit disclosed by Turney with the regeneration control circuit disclosed by Solheim. The suggestion/motivation for doing

so would have been to reducing time delay in the synthesizer (Turney column 3 lines 18-28).

As per claim 5 Solheim also discloses that the regeneration control circuit comprises a voltage threshold level setting means for making a decision on the input signal by using the voltage threshold level and generating measured data from the input signal (figure 3 block 16 output 13 column 6 lines 5-17); clock phase setting means for setting a phase of the clock (figure 3 block 14 column 5 lines 48-54); level decision control means (figure 3 block 16 column 6 lines 5-17); decision information hold means for holding the decision information (figure 3 block 18 column 6 lines 5-17); and optimal point setting means for identifying a decision point within the valid zone of the eye pattern at which there is the least possibility that error occurs from the decision information obtained by sequentially sweeping the voltage threshold level and the extracted phase of clock and performing the regeneration control in which the decision point thus identified is used as the optimal point (figure 2 and figure 3 block 16 column 5 lines 55-62). Solheim doesn't specifically disclose determining whether signal logic levels of the measured data at the adjacent monitor points match with each other and providing the result of said determination as decision information. Wada discloses determining whether signal logic levels of the measured data at the adjacent monitor points match with each other and providing the result of said determination as decision information (figures 7-10, column 10 lines 14-39). Solheim and Wada are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the clock

recovery circuit disclosed by Wada with the regeneration control circuit disclosed by Solheim. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to produce a timing clock signal at a point at which an eye pattern of the signal opens most widely (Wada abstract).

As per claim 6 Solheim also discloses that the level decision control means pulls in phase a first output of the measured data triggered by a current clock and a second output of the measured data triggered by a delayed clock obtained by delaying the current clock by a fixed time, makes an exclusive-OR operation on the first and second outputs to make a level decision on the monitor point and generates the decision information (figure 4 blocks 101-102 column 6 lines 36-53).

As per claim 7 Solheim also discloses that the optimal point setting means applies an offset adjustment control to the clock timing extraction circuit when a maximum transmission rate of the input signal is equal to the rate of the synchronizing clock to thereby generate a through clock, the clock phase setting means selects the through clock to sweep the clock phase (figure 3 column 5 lines 40-54).

As per claim 8 Solheim also discloses that the optimal point setting means applies a count value control and a digital phase step control to the clock phase setting means when the transmission rate of the input signal is lower than that of the synchronizing clock to thereby generate a clock signal having a different frequency-dividing ratio, and applies an offset adjustment control to the clock timing extraction circuit to thereby generate a frequency-divided signal based on the clock signal, the



clock phase setting means selects the frequency-divided clock to sweep the clock phase (figure 3 column 6 lines 5-17).

As per claim 9 Solheim also discloses that the optimal point setting means sets a reset cycle based on an error rate corresponding to the transmission rate of the input signal, and resets the decision information held in the decision information holding means on the basis of the reset cycle (figure 2 and figure 3 column 6 lines 18-26).

As per claim 10 Solheim also discloses that the optimal point setting means controls to shift a next monitor point without waiting for the reset cycle when recognizing that the decision information is indicative of error (figure 2 and figure 3 column 6 lines 36-53).

As per claim 11 Solheim also discloses that the optimal point setting means comprises a memory for memorizing the decision information about the monitor points, and determines, as the optimal point, a monitor point located in a memory area in which there is the least error with respect to the voltage threshold level and the clock phase (figure 3 block 18 column 6 lines 5-18).

As per claim 12 Solheim also discloses that the optimal point setting means memorizes the voltage threshold level and the clock phase at the monitor point determined as the optimal point, and performs the regeneration control using the memorized voltage threshold level and the clock phase at the time of restart (figure 3 column 6 lines 5-26).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Solheim, Turney and Wada as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Nakamura (US

Art Unit: 2611

6741668). Solheim, Turney and Wada disclose claim 2. Solheim, Turney and Wada don't specifically disclose that the phase comparing means makes an exclusive-OR operation on a level of a rising edge of the frequency-divided clock and that of a falling edge thereof so that the phase difference is detected as a duty ratio. Nakamura discloses that the phase comparing means makes an exclusive-OR operation on a level of a rising edge of the frequency-divided clock and that of a falling edge thereof so that the phase difference is detected as a duty ratio (figure 7 column 3 line 37 to column 4 line 6 and column 13 lines 1-65). Solheim, Turney, Wada and Nakamura are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the exclusive-or operation circuit disclosed by Nakamura with the regeneration control circuit disclosed by Solheim, Turney and Wada. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to reduce the jitter of the receiver (Nakamura column 2 lines 41-51).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Solheim, Turney and Wada as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Itaya (US 4625180). Solheim, Turney and Wada disclose claim 2. Solheim, Turney and Wada don't specifically disclose that the phase-locked loop control means sets a frequency-dividing ratio available before power off in the frequency-dividing means at the time of power off and sets a control voltage available before breaking of the input signal in the averaging means when the input signal breaks. Itaya discloses that the phase-locked loop control means sets a frequency-dividing ratio available before power off in the frequency-dividing means at the time of power off and sets a control voltage available

Art Unit: 2611

before breaking of the input signal in the averaging means when the input signal breaks (figure 4 column 5 lines 40-50). Solheim, Turney, Wada and Itaya are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the power off technique disclosed by Itaya with the regeneration control circuit disclosed by Solheim, Turney and Wada. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to reduce the fluctuations of the phase locked loop the receiver (Itaya abstract).

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Solheim (US 5896391) in view of Wada (US 5602879 A). Solheim discloses a regeneration control circuit performing a regeneration control of an input signal, comprising a voltage threshold level setting means for making a decision on the input signal by using a voltage threshold level and generating measured data from the input signal (figure 3 block 16 output 13 column 6 lines 5-17); clock phase setting means for setting a phase of a clock for decision making (figure 3 block 14 column 5 lines 48-54); level decision control means (figure 3 block 16 column 6 lines 5-17); decision information hold means for holding the decision information (figure 3 block 18 column 6 lines 5-17); and optimal point setting means for identifying a decision point within a valid zone of an eye pattern at which there is the least possibility that error occurs from the decision information obtained by sequentially sweeping the voltage threshold level and the phase of the clock with respect to the input signal and performing the regeneration control in which the decision point thus identified is used as an optimal point (figure 2 and figure 3 block 16 column 5 lines 55-62). Solheim doesn't specifically disclose determining whether

Art Unit: 2611

signal logic levels of the measured data at the adjacent monitor points match with each other and providing the result of said determination as decision information. Wada discloses determining whether signal logic levels of the measured data at the adjacent monitor points match with each other and providing the result of said determination as decision information (figures 7-10, column 10 lines 14-39). Solheim and Wada are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the clock recovery circuit disclosed by Wada with the regeneration control circuit disclosed by Solheim. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to produce a timing clock signal at a point at which an eye pattern of the signal opens most widely (Wada abstract).

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Naito (US 6538786) in view of Solheim (US 5896391), further in view of Turney (US 4516083 A) and further in view of Wada (US 5602879 A). Naito discloses an optical receiver receiving a light signal and performing a regeneration control, comprising an opto-electric conversion unit converting the light signal into an electric signal (figure 1 block 31 column 10 lines 40-51); a filtering unit performing a waveform equalizing control of the electric signal (figure 1 block 31 column 10 lines 40-51). Naito doesn't disclose a clock timing extraction unit dynamically setting a frequency-dividing ratio based on a transmission rate of the input signal to perform a phase synchronization control so that there is a fixed phase difference between the input signal and an oscillation output and extracting a clock timing based on the transmission rate; and a regeneration control unit

Art Unit: 2611

sequentially sweeping a voltage threshold level and phase of the extracted clock with respect to the input signal to determine whether signal logic levels measured at adjacent points match with each other and based thereon finding an optimal point within a valid zone of an eye pattern at which there is the least possibility that error occurs.

Turney discloses a clock timing extraction unit dynamically setting a frequency-dividing ratio based on a transmission rate of the input signal to perform a phase

synchronization control so that there is a fixed phase difference between the input signal and an oscillation output and extracting a clock timing based on the transmission rate (figure 1 block 14 column 2 line 41 to column 3 line 35). Naito and Turney are

analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to

incorporate the clock timing extraction circuit disclosed by Turney with the optical communication system disclosed by Naito. The suggestion/motivation for doing so

would have been to reducing time delay in the synthesizer (Turney column 3 lines 18-28). Solheim discloses a regeneration control unit sequentially sweeping a voltage

threshold level and phase of the extracted clock with respect to the input signal (figure 3 column 5 line 25 to column 6 line 35). Naito, Turney and Solheim are analogous art

because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the data

recovery system disclosed by Solheim with the optical communication system disclosed by Naito and Turney. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide

an optima operation point (Solheim abstract). Wada discloses determining whether

Art Unit: 2611

signal logic levels of the measured data at the adjacent monitor points match with each other and providing the result of said determination as decision information (figures 7-10, column 10 lines 14-39). Naito, Turney, Solheim and Wada are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the clock recovery circuit disclosed by Wada with the optical communication system disclosed by Naito, Turney and Solheim. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to produce a timing clock signal at a point at which an eye pattern of the signal opens most widely (Wada abstract).

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Juan A. Torres whose telephone number is (571) 272-3119. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H. Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Juan Alberto Torres  
03-27-2006

TEMESGHEN GHEBRETINSAE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
3/26/06  
WJG